Approved For Release 2002/09/04 : CIA-RDP67B00820R090400010079-5

October 1, 1965 MW-M-1243

Dear Herb:

SUBJECT: Proposal: Questioned Cost Sales Order No. 71945

We are submitting the attached proposal in an attempt to resolve costs questioned by your office in connection with a portion of the final audit of our Sales Order 71945. It is our understanding that additional costs questioned may evolve from the balance of the audit which will involve a test of material and labor transfers, travel and other journal entries.

At the beginning of the program, due to its size and security requirements we were required to set up a separate facility. The need for a separate cost center was discussed with the Contracting Officer and it was mutually agreed not to change the basis for absorption of overhead and the contract was negotiated accordingly. The subsequent inclusion of negotiated fiscal year overhead rates during the performance of the contract confirms this mutual agreement.

With regards to Capital Equipment, since the contract required approval of all items over \$500 and they were subsequently approved by the Contracting Officer we are of the opinion that we must only demonstrate a program requirement for any of these items under \$500 (e.g. accessories for equipment already authorized for local or field use, general purpose equipment etc). Even though a majority of the equipment in the secured facility was supplied by the customer Perkin-Elmer did provide most of the equipment in the open areas. Acquisition of field equipment was directed in accordance with Attachment B (copy attached).

Our understanding of the costs questioned indicate that these costs relate to allocability of costs (direct vs indirect) rather than cost disallowances and that your office concurs with this understanding. Therefore our proposal is contingent upon joint acceptance of reclassification of costs by both cognizant audit groups at Perkin-Elmer and we suggest that your office is the proper party to resolve this matter with the other group. For your information we have negotiated overhead rates through 31 July 1963 and we are about to negotiate fiscal 1964 rates. We assume that the subject overhead adjustment will be considered in our fiscal 1965 submission, even though the adjustment will not be booked until fiscal 1966, since the reclassified costs apply to prior years.

Approved For Release 2002/	9/04 : CIA-RDP67	7B00820R00040	00010079-5
----------------------------	------------------	---------------	------------

-2-

October 1, 1965 MW-M-1243

We	1ook	forward	to	your	acceptance	or	comments	at	your	earliest
cor	venie	ence.								

STATINTL Charlie

mw

Attachments

Approved For Release 2002/09/04: CIA-RDP67B00820R000400010079-5

THE PERKIN-ELMER CORPORATION Electro-Optical Division

	STATINTL	(
TO:		September 30, 1965
FROM:	STATINTL	
SUBJECT:	Final Settlement Proposal S.O. 71945	:

The following is our proposal for final settlement on Perkin-Elmer Sales Order 71945 (exclusive of any adjustments that may be necessary through your audit of Material and Labor Transfers, Travel Expenses and Journal Entries). The final page of this proposal contains a summary of the amounts questioned, and the amounts that we would consider for reclassification.

I. CAPITAL EQUIPMENT

Detailed studies of our Capital Equipment Procurement Approvals (items of an estimated cost of \$500.00 or more) indicate that the items under \$500.00 were shipped to the Field Site, (as required and authorized by Attachment B of the Contract) or were used as Accessory Equipment to the, already approved, Capital Equipment.

For the most part, any item that was shipped to the Field is documented by Shipping papers.

Further justification for charging these items directly to the Contract follow:

- (1) The items shipped to the Field will not be available for use at Perkin-Elmer for an extended period beyond the requirements of this Contract, and hence no other Projects can derive use of this equipment. The application of Field Service rate, which is devoid of Depreciation Expense further justifies use of Customer owned equipment.
- (2) The Accessory Equipment is a necessary item for the proper useage of the larger Capital Equipment. Once attached, or even just associated by its' use, this Accessory Equipment is no longer available for use by other Projects.

(3) The Security (which demanded a segregated area), size and schedule requirements of this Program further limited the utilization of Perkin-Elmer's Capital Equipment.

Therefore, it is our contention that all of the items (classified as "Capital Equipment") would be allowable as direct contract costs.

II. WIRE

While we agree with our past policy of charging wire to operating expense, we further believe; we are reasonably sure, you also agree, that most Accounting policies must have some flexibility.

STATINTL

An exception to this policy is the procurement of gold wire. This wire procured at a cost of approximately an ounce is highly specialized, the purpose of which is classified; should not be categorized along with types of wire, procured from a commercial outlet, at a cost of about .06 a hundred feet.

We have determined that the cost of this "special	_{a1} STATINTL
purpose" wire was This represents of the	e
questioned wire. The acceptable amount, for reclass	LESTATINTL
cation to operating expense, of is arrived at	by
applyingto the "blown-up" cost of wire.	STATINTL

STATINTL

III. CHEMICALS

In attempting to have more consistent Accounting practices we would be willing to concede a 100% reclassification of the blown-up cost of chemicals.

IV. CLEAN ROOM UNIFORMS

Consistency, being our general area of concern, we would be willing to reclassify 100% of the questioned amount. The amount questioned represents the actual amount charged to this contract; therefore, we do not concur to the using of the blown-up factor to this item.

While the above statements are true for this contract, it is evident that other succeeding Special Projects contracts have been directly charged for this expense; accordingly, we will reclassify this charge, and credit the contract.

V. ELECTRONICS & HARDWARE, TOOLS AND SUPPLIES

STATINTL

A. Justification for charging of these items directly to the contract is explained by the following:

nel.

(1)	These items, as required and authorized by contract, are shipped directly to the Field Site, which is documented by shipping papers.				
	The intent of this was to set up an initial stock of supplies, equipment and tools for a Field facility in order that they would be				

available for subsequent use by Field Person-

(2) The Field Service Contract, which provided services at the out-of plant burden rate, came into being as of September, 1962. The Field Contract did not provide for these supplies, etc., instead, as originally intended, the burden of initial Field stockage was left to

Sales Order 71945.

STATINTL

STATINTL

(a)	Accordingly during F/Y 1963 expenses
	represent of the total expenditures
	This is best explained by making it.
	Field Service Contract "birth" deten TINITI
	was 9/62, and then realizing this
	Contract was built from ermanent P-E
	Field Personnel to the present total of
Γ	
L	

- (3) The Out-Of Plant Burden Rate does not allow for those supplies, etc., so there could not be any reimbursement of these costs thru burden, and as indicated in the preceding paragraph, the Field Contract did not permit reimbursement thru a direct material charge.
- (4) Being reimbursed as a direct material charge under Sales Order 71945, and also charging full burden on the same order is equitable, and does not result in a "double-charge".
 - (a) The Full burden rate utilized on Sales Order 71945 only reimburses us for supplies etc., utilized at Norwalk operations.

In conclusion, this contract will only get charged for its' proper share of supplies, and no inequity has been "passed on" by charging those supplies as a direct contract cost

STATINTL	The calculation for a justification is as follows: TATINTL	
	Amount questioned of supplies, tools, etc. Amount questioned of supplies, tools, etc.	
	% of F/Y 1963 to Total	
	STATINTL	

-4-

STATINTL

B. Justification for charging an additional directly to the contract for Tools, Supplies etc., used as accessories to Customer supplied, and acquired Capital Equipment, has been covered under the "Capital Equipment" justification.

VI. DEPOSITS FOR GAS CYLINDERS AND GAS EXPENSE

A. Deposits for Gas Cylinders.

Some of the gas cylinders were shipped directly to the Field, and by not having control of their destiny (after the gas was consumed), we could not assure their being returned to Norwalk. Some of these cylinders were probably turned into base supply, and others were turned into a gas supplier at Field operations.

Since the number of containers that were shipped to the Field is not readily available, we propose to completely disregard of these costs as a direct contract cost or as a current operating expense.

STATINTL

B. Gas Expense

This expense has been classified into two categories. The categories, and justification for their incurrence follows:

1. Helium - This type of gas is consumed directly in the deliverable equipment. Its use, directly, effects

STATINTL

Because this information is highly "sensitive", any further explanation, of the system, or the gases ultimate use, must be explained by oral discussion.

- 2. Other gases i.e., Nitrogen, Hydrogen, Co² etc. In order to be consistent with our present policies we will concur to a reclassification of these gases.
 - (a) In all fairness to you, we believe that the "blown-up" gas expenses does not represent the amount that should be subject to reclassification.

A summary of the gases that were audited, and a calculation of the amount subject to reclassification follows:

		das appenses for period 1 July 62 to	31 July 63
	STATINTL		
×2			
•			
		Total Questioned	\$
Since thi calculati	s represents a port	tion of the probable gas expense, the f	
	Α.	F/Y 1963 total purchases	
		Total Program purchases % of F/Y "63 to total STATINTL	
	-	· ·	STATINTL
	В.	F/Y 1963 gases subject to	
		reclassification STATINTL	
		Total gases subject to re-	
		classification rounded	
VII	RENT STAT	INTL	
	Of the	questioned, we have specific approval	for more
	than 95% of the	The approvals are as	fo&lows:
	#106	ansonic generator covered unde 2 and #1069.	r TWX
07.471.171	(2) Kern	theodolite - covered under TWX #10	86. STATINTL
STATINTL	(3) Carbo	oflex equipment - covered under var	rious TWX's.
	The remaining	g items: vibration meter - \$50, 3 vibra	nd
	-Po 4110 and 10	J HAN HADETON DICTIVA COMOMO CTE t.	
-	") OFFICE THY O'	JUL LUE COSE OF researching this info	
	TO BOLLTHONE MG M	of concession. However, if you feel to will expend any efforts that you think n	
STATINTL	recessary ror c	THE TERSONS OUTLINED Shows the company	
OTATIO	be allowed as an	item of allowable direct contract cost.	
VIII.	DIRECT	LABOR CHARGES STATINTL ST	TATINTL
	Our proposal	for the allowance of of	□ _{F/Y}
	1965 direct labor following:	as direct contract costs is based upon STATINTL	the
	(1) A awa		
	direc	at proportion of effort was expertly relating to the solving of technica	nded
	lems :	for his contracts.	ı prob-
	(2) His ti	itle "Branch Chief" was a stature symbo:	l in order
Ар	proved For Release 20	002/09/04 : CIA-RDP67B00820R000400010079∹	5

Approved For Release 2002/09/04: CIA-RDP67B00820R000400010079-5

to enhance his position in dealing with STATINTL

(3) While it is recognized, that a comparatively small percentage of _______labor had been expended on supervision, we have not overlooked the need, and in some cases the contractual requirement, for _______Technical abilityes. TINTL

In order that a proper reclassification be made, we must give credit to Sales Orders that _______ charged.

We propose that this be accomplished by reclassifying all direct labor charged

(less the "Labor" portion charged to Hi-level Technical Support) for the second half of Fiscal 1965.

THE PERKIN-ELMER CORPORATION SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PROPOSAL FOR QUESTIONABLE COSTS

PECO S.O. 71945

STATINTL

	Category	Total Questioned Amt's	Blown-up Questionable materials	Amount Conceded for Reclassificati
I.	Capital Equipment			
II,	Wire			
III.	Chemicals			
Liv.	Uniforms			
v.	Electronics & Hardware			
v.	Expendable Tools			
v.	General Supplies			
v.	Lab Supplies			
VI.	Deposits on Gas			
VI.	Gas			
VII.	Rent			
viii.	Total Materials Labor STATINTL			
	Overhead			
	Travel			
	Totals STATINTL			
	material audit total contract materials	The "	to be questionable; applyin 'blow-up" questionable mate	ng 4.0% erial&T&TINTL
Indi bear			ig the same percent that th	e totals
Your	comments and/or approva		ill be appreciated.	STATINTL
This term	proposal is contingent s & conditions contained Charlie (MW-M-1243) det	upon the acceptance in the attached let	of ter	sion
	Approved For	Release 2002/09/04: CI	A-RDP67B00820R00040001007	9-5"

ATTACHMENT "B"

FIELD SUPPORT EQUIPMENT FOR SIX (6) SYSTEMS

Collimator with six (6) large flats to permit observation of oblique positions.

Tool, meters, etc

Elec. - mech. check-out consoles:

Configuration

Window

Hatch

Pilot control

Film viewing table and microscope

Film rewinds

Dollies, Lifts, Hoists, Stands

Desiccation Equipment

Power Cart

Cabinets, Benches, Vacuum Cleaner, Office Equipment

Special Clothing

Flight Test Instrumentation

Photographic Equipment and Supplies

Optical Test Apparatus

Strength Tester

Vacuum Tester

Cycle Tester

August 13, 1962 JH-M-430

Dear Wendell:

The establishment of the clean area requested in our twx no. 935 was discussed with you and your technical people during my visit on 25 July. The technical decision was that the clean area was necessary, however the extent of Headquarters participation in the cost was left open for negotiation. This letter will serve to furnish additional information in support of our request.

Early in 1959 it became apparent that a separate facility would be required for the engineering, assembly and test phases of the program. At that time we extended our lease on the plant with the thoug plent with the thought that one half of the plant area would be sufficient to house the program. Contract RE-518 was negotiated at this time to cover the rearrangement and ATINTL equipping of the applicable half of plant. This work was completed for October '59 occupancy and the rear half of the building was set up as a Division machine shop. In the spring of 1960 it became apparent that the full area of the plant would be necessary for this program, the machine shop was moved out, and the rear half of the building was made suitable for the program at our expense. STATINTL STATINTL Of the equipment furnished by you under RE-518 and PR-8200 comwe can identify approximately

prising a total value of the order of as equipment peculiar to the tems being furnished under PR-8299. is equipment which will ultimately STATINTL be shipped to the Arca for use in the Feek building. The remainder will be held for later use on M & O and spares requirements. Thus your net investment here over the last three years approximates Our own invest-ATINTL ment in plant and equipment for per on covernment work over that period has STATINTL been about can be identified in direct support of which of PR-8200. (This is itemized in attachment "A".) STATINTL Items not specifically identifiable as in direct support of this

program also contribute in large measure to its support. In addition to direct capital investment we lease approximately worth of machif-TATINTL ery and equipment which is used to fabricate parts for your program as well as others. We believe that our support of PR-8200 as compared to other STATINTL programs in progress is normal.

STATINTL

Our specific request in regard to the Clean Room was in the emount of This included our standard G & A of percent on the estimated cost of This estimated cost covered three main categories as follows:

STATINTL

- 2 - STATINTL
Air conditioning, humidity, and dust control
Ceiling, lighting, and electrical
General construction

These are costs above the normally required lighting, heating, flooring, and wall treatment for the addition to the building if it were used for a stock room.

Questions raised during our last meeting regarding -a) the consideration which we would give for final title to the equipment and building improvements and -b) the ultimate disposition of the building can be enswered as follows:

						1	STATINTL	
STATINTL	a)	In consideration	for v	ıltimate	title	we offer	r to complate	_the
Clear	Room as	described in our	memo	dated 24	July	1962 fr	om	to
	fo	r a total cost ag	ainst	PR-8200	of			STATINTI

that the program under PR-3200 would continue for a number of years, and that a purchase by us would eliminate any possibility at a later date that lease-hold extensions might be uneconomical or impossible. We expect upon completion of this program the building will be disposed of since it represents a decentralized operation with its attendent inafficiences and expenses. We wish to point out that maintenance costs, taxes, depreciation, etc. on this building are shared by others through overhead. Had this program been of a less sensitive nature security wise, we would have integrated it into operations at our main plant and used some of the equipment and facilities on a scheduled basis with other programs. To the extent possible this is being done in machining, electronic sub-assembly, optical fabrication and testing. However security precludes final assembly and testing at any facility other than Conn. Ave.

Since our meeting at your office on 7 August, we have reviewed the requirements regarding permissible particle size and find no substantial reduction in either specification or cost is feasible.

We believe the above requested support of the Clean Area to be justified and reasonable and solicit your approval at the earliest possible time.

STATINTL

Machine Shop Move and Modification of Rear Half of Bldg.

Sprinkler

Rental of special warehouse

Purchase of STATINTL

P-E equipment at Shell of addition STATINTL

Optical Shop Equipment

Approved For Release 2002/09/04: CIA-RDP67B00820R000400010079-5

Standard LIST OF PURCHASE ORDERS FOR ITEMS OF CAPITAL EQUIPMENT NATURE UNDER \$500. CONTRACT PR-8200

STATINTL

STATINTL

Order No. Vendor Description Amount	Remarks
used be vention	l Air Riveter ecause con- n tools NG
68842 Scale Precision overhead	ion scale for ad lifting
Part of Part o	Riveter
→250 Fan For GFF	KH Huppest
Furnace	
	g of S ecurity
71958 Uscilliscope Camera Waste	
To make	photos of
76609 Multi - Probe glass,	erc. onic testing
of moto	-
wener	. Testing on
	limators
Microscopes Optical	. Testing on
	limators.
	gage for
	ral Strength
80768 Random Noise Generator For Mea	ourseles DET
Tot Mea	suring RFI all huck
—	s on platform
	ork & data
reducti	on
	Vacuum
% Torrid Windows 96249 Ton Pumps Test of	
96249 Ion Pumps Test of Windows	Vacuum
A C 1 H H	Vacuum
Windows	
97078 Heaters Mfg. of	
Windows	
	stance welder
	hold chemical
Vac Pump Test of Windows	
87436 Bell Jar Assy. Test of	
Windows	
89290 B&S Height Gage Q.C. In	spection Tool
	suring data
90059 Microbm meter reducti	
tor mig	• of vacuum
windows	

Approved For Release 2002/09/04 : CIA-RDP67B00820R000400010079-5 STATINTL

Purchase Order No.	Vendor	Description	Amount	Pomarika
89425 34940 91612 91606		Jato Air Fixture Chairs and tables """ Dynamometer	MIDUILE	Remarks Optical Test For clean room """ For system Test
STATINTL Repairs	STATINTL s to equipment and other ca . as per discussions	SUB TOTAL		
	\$	S	TATINTL	STATINTL
67857 67952 71906 76642 73110 76889 Various 30833	STATINTL	Prakica Camera Microscopes & micrometers Amplifiers Office Supplies Micrometers Benches and legs Clean room equipment Duct System Repair to CFE """" """" """"		Q.C. Inspection Optical Check-out Stabilization Check-out of Accelerometers Optical Check-out Stand for collimate Misc. clean room capital equipment For clean room Repair Century recorder. CFE No. SPO 5277 Repair Memo Scope. Repair Oscilliscope, repair Oscilligraph.
STATINTL	tandard funiture for cle height gage). Why though the de installation of underflow should be paid of	idn't contemplate of	Lunishing ?	STATINTL